

US010120447B2

# (12) United States Patent

### Peshkin et al.

#### (54) HAPTIC DISPLAY WITH SIMULTANEOUS SENSING AND ACTUATION

- (71) Applicant: Northwestern University, Evanston, IL (US)
- (72) Inventors: Michael A. Peshkin, Evanston, IL (US); James E. Colgate, Evanston, IL (US)
- (73) Assignee: **NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY**, Evanston, IL (US)
- (\*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.
- (21) Appl. No.: 14/306,842
- (22) Filed: Jun. 17, 2014

#### (65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2014/0375580 A1 Dec. 25, 2014

#### **Related U.S. Application Data**

- (60) Provisional application No. 61/838,566, filed on Jun. 24, 2013.
- (51) Int. Cl.

G06F 3/01	(2006.01)
G06F 3/044	(2006.01)
G06F 3/041	(2006.01)

#### (58) Field of Classification Search

CPC ...... G06F 3/044; G06F 3/016; G06F 3/04812; G06F 3/0416; G06F 2203/04111 USPC ...... 178/18.06; 345/173, 174; 715/701, 702 See application file for complete search history.

## (10) Patent No.: US 10,120,447 B2

### (45) **Date of Patent:** Nov. 6, 2018

#### (56) **References Cited**

#### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

6,337,678 B1	1/2002	Fish
6,351,054 B1	2/2002	Cabuz et al.
6,429,846 B2	8/2002	Rosenberg et al.
6,970,160 B2	11/2005	Mulligan et al.
7,148,875 B2	12/2006	Rosenberg et al.
	(Con	tinued)

#### FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

JP	2006-163206	6/2006
WO	2010139171	9/2010
WO	WO 2010/105006	9/2010

#### OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Bau, O., I. Poupyrev, A. Israr, and C. Harrison, "TeslaTouch: Electrovibration for Touch Surfaces," User Interface Science and Technology (UIST) Oct. 3-6, 2010, New York.

(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Amr Awad

Assistant Examiner — Aaron Midkiff

(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm - Vedder Price P.C.

#### (57) **ABSTRACT**

Touch interface devices having systems and methods for producing multi-point haptics utilizing simultaneous sensing and actuation are disclosed. In a first configuration, two layers of electrodes are used, including a top layer for haptics near a touch surface of an insulating substrate and a bottom layer for sensing at the bottom surface of the insulating substrate, with the two electrode sets have substantially the same pattern as one another. In a second configuration, a single array of electrodes is used near a touch surface of an insulating substrate and serves as both surface haptic devices and sensing devices.

#### 19 Claims, 12 Drawing Sheets



#### (56) **References Cited**

#### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

7,271,707B29/2007Gonzales $7,701,445$ B2 $4/2010$ Inokawa et al. $7,742,036$ B2 $6/2010$ Grant et al. $7,952,498$ B2 $5/2011$ Higa $8,072,429$ B2* $12/2011$ Grivna $2003/0038776$ A1 $2/2003$ Rosenberg et al. $2003/0151597$ A1 $8/2003$ Rosenberg et al. $2003/0193475$ A1 $10/2003$ Rosenberg et al. $2005/0173231$ A1 $8/2005$ Gonzales $2006/0279548$ A1 $12/2006$ Geaghan $2007/0236450$ A1 $10/2007$ Colgate et al. $2008/0060856$ A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al. $2008/0062142$ A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al. $2008/0062143$ A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al. $2008/0062145$ A1 $3/2008$ Rosenberg et al. $2008/0062145$ A1 $3/2008$ Rosenberg et al. $2008/006351$ A1 $3/2008$ Rosenberg et al. $2008/0063351$ A1 $2/2008$ Grant et al. $2009/0079550$ A1 $2/2009$ Cauwels et al. $2009/0174671$ A1 $6/2010$ Burrough et al. $2009/0174671$ A1 $7/2010$ Lin et al. $2010/0231508$ A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al. $2010/0231539$ A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al. $2010/0231540$ A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al. $2010/0231540$ A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-He		DA	0/0005	a 1
7,742,036B2 $6/2010$ Grant et al. $7,952,498$ B2 $5/2011$ Higa $8,072,429$ B2* $12/2013$ Rosenberg et al. $2003/0038776$ A1 $2/2003$ Rosenberg et al. $2003/0151597$ A1 $8/2003$ Rosenberg et al. $2003/0151597$ A1 $8/2003$ Rosenberg et al. $2003/019475$ A1 $10/2003$ Rosenberg et al. $2005/0173231$ A1 $8/2005$ Gonzales $2006/0279548$ A1 $10/2007$ Colgate et al. $2007/0236450$ A1 $10/2007$ Ramstein $2008/006856$ A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al. $2008/0062122$ A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al. $2008/0062143$ A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al. $2008/0062145$ A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al. $2008/0062145$ A1 $3/2008$ Kim et al. $2008/0062145$ A1 $3/2008$ Kim et al. $2008/0062145$ A1 $3/2008$ Kim et al. $2008/0062145$ A1 $3/2008$ Wakinen et al. $2008/002328$ A1 $1/2009$ Ullrich et al. $2009/0079550$ A1 $3/2009$ Makinen et al. $2009/0079570$ A1 $6/2009$ Cauwels et al. $2009/007979$ A1 $4/2010$ Demuynck et al. $2009/017671$ A1 $6/2019$ Heubel et al. $2010/0231567$ A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al. $2010/0231570$ A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Herna				
7,952,498B2 $5/2011$ Higa Rivra $345/173$ 2003/0038776A1 $2/2003$ Rosenberg et al. $345/173$ 2003/0151597A1 $8/2003$ Roberts et al. $2003/0151597$ $A1$ $8/2003$ 2003/0151597A1 $8/2003$ Rosenberg et al. $2003/0179190$ $A1$ $9/2003$ 2003/0179190A1 $9/2003$ Franzen $2003/0179190$ $A1$ $8/2005$ 2005/0173231A1 $8/2005$ Gonzales $2006/0279548$ $A1$ $10/2007$ 2007/0236450A1 $10/2007$ Ramstein $2008/0062143$ $A1$ $3/2008$ 2008/0062143A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al. $2008/0062143$ $A1$ 2008/0062144A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al. $2008/0062145$ $A1$ 2008/0062145A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al. $2008/0062145$ $A1$ 2008/0062145A1 $3/2008$ Kim et al. $2008/0062145$ $A1$ 2008/0062145A1 $3/2008$ Kim et al. $2008/0079550$ $A1$ 2008/0129705A1 $6/2008$ Kim et al. $2009/0079550$ $A1$ 2009/0079550A1 $3/2009$ Makinen et al. $2009/0079570$ $A1$ 2009/017673A1 $6/2009$ Cauwels et al. $2010/0144477$ $A1$ 2010/0231367A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al. $2010/0231537$ 2010/0231508A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231540A1 $9/2010$ Cru				
8,072,429B2*12/2011Grīvna345/1732003/0038776A12/2003Rosenberg et al.2003/0151597A18/2003Roberts et al.2003/0151597A18/2003Rosenberg et al.2003/0179190A19/2003Franzen2003/0193475A110/2003Rosenberg et al.2005/0173231A18/2005Gonzales2005/0173231A18/2005Gonzales2006/0279548A110/2007Colgate et al.2007/0236450A110/2007Rosenberg et al.2007/0236474A110/2007Rosenberg et al.2008/0060856A13/2008Shahoian et al.2008/0062143A13/2008Shahoian et al.2008/0062143A13/2008Shahoian et al.2008/0068351A13/2008Rosenberg et al.2008/0068351A13/2008Kim et al.2008/0303782A11/2009Ullrich et al.2008/0303782A11/2009Grant et al.2009/010703A16/2009Cauwels et al.2009/010763A16/2009Cauwels et al.345/1732010/0079379A14/2010Demuynck et al.345/1732010/0141407A16/2010Burrough et al.2010/02315672010/0231561A19/2010Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/02315412010/0231541A19/2010Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/02315502010/0231550A19/2010Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231551A1 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
2003/0038776A12/2003Rosenberg et al.2003/0151597A18/2003Roberts et al.2003/0151597A19/2003Franzen2003/0173231A18/2005Gonzales2006/0279548A110/2007Colgate et al.2007/0236450A110/2007Colgate et al.2007/0236474A110/2007Ramstein2008/0060856A13/2008Shahoian et al.2008/0062122A13/2008Shahoian et al.2008/0062143A13/2008Shahoian et al.2008/0062144A13/2008Shahoian et al.2008/0062145A13/2008Shahoian et al.2008/0062145A13/2008Rosenberg et al.2008/0062145A13/2008Rosenberg et al.2008/0062145A13/2008Rosenberg et al.2008/0062145A13/2008Rosenberg et al.2008/006351A13/2008Kim et al.2008/0129705A16/2008Kim et al.2008/0218488A19/2008Grant et al.2009/0079550A13/2009Makinen et al.2009/0079550A13/2009Cauwels et al.2009/0174671A1*7/2009Tachi et al.2010/018364A17/2010Eruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231578A19/2010Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231579A19/2010Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231540A19/2010				
2003/0151597A1 $8/2003$ Roberts et al.2003/0179190A1 $9/2003$ Franzen2003/0179190A1 $9/2003$ Franzen2003/0173231A1 $8/2005$ Gonzales2005/0173231A1 $8/2005$ Gonzales2007/0236450A1 $10/2007$ Colgate et al.2007/0236474A1 $10/2007$ Ramstein2008/006856A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al.2008/0062122A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al.2008/0062143A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al.2008/0062144A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al.2008/0062145A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al.2008/0062145A1 $3/2008$ Rosenberg et al.2008/0062145A1 $3/2008$ Rosenberg et al.2008/0129705A1 $3/2008$ Kim et al.2008/0129705A1 $3/2008$ Wang et al.2008/0129705A1 $1/2008$ Grant et al.2009/00079550A1 $3/2009$ Makinen et al.2009/0160763A1 $6/2010$ Cuuwels et al.2009/0174671A1 $6/2010$ Burrough et al.2010/018364A1 $7/2010$ Lin et al.2010/0231567A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231578A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231540A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231550A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/02315				
2003/0179190A19/2003Franzen2003/0193475A110/2003Rosenberg et al.2005/0173231A18/2005Gonzales2006/0279548A112/2006Geaghan2007/0236450A110/2007Colgate et al.2007/0236474A110/2007Ramstein2008/0060856A13/2008Shahoian et al.2008/0062122A13/2008Shahoian et al.2008/0062143A13/2008Shahoian et al.2008/0062144A13/2008Shahoian et al.2008/0062145A13/2008Shahoian et al.2008/0062145A13/2008Rosenberg et al.2008/0062145A13/2008Rosenberg et al.2008/0062145A13/2008Rosenberg et al.2008/00621848A19/2008Yang et al.2008/0129705A16/2008Kim et al.2008/0129705A13/2009Makinen et al.2009/0079550A13/2009Cauwels et al.2009/0160763A16/2009Cauwels et al.2009/0174671A16/2010Demuynck et al.2010/0231567A19/2010Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231568A19/2010Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231540A19/2010Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231540A19/2010Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231550A19/2010Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231550A19				
2003/0193475A110/2003Rosenberg et al.2005/0173231A1 $8/2005$ Gonzales2006/0279548A1 $12/2006$ Geaghan2007/0236450A1 $10/2007$ Colgate et al.2007/0236474A1 $10/2007$ Ramstein2008/0060856A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al.2008/0062122A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al.2008/0062143A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al.2008/0062144A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al.2008/0062145A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al.2008/0062145A1 $3/2008$ Rosenberg et al.2008/006351A1 $3/2008$ Rosenberg et al.2008/0129705A1 $6/2008$ Kim et al.2008/0218488A1 $9/2008$ Yang et al.2008/0303782A1 $12/2008$ Grant et al.2009/0002328A1 $1/2009$ Ullrich et al.2009/0174671A1* $7/2009$ Tachi et al.2010/0174671A1* $7/2010$ Demuynck et al.2010/016818A1 $6/2010$ Burrough et al.2010/0231567A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231540A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231541A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231550A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231550A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231550A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez				
2005/0173231A1 $8/2005$ Gonzales2006/0279548A1 $12/2006$ Geaghan2007/0236450A1 $10/2007$ Colgate et al.2007/0236474A1 $10/2007$ Ramstein2008/0060856A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al.2008/0062122A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al.2008/0062143A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al.2008/0062144A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al.2008/0062145A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al.2008/0068351A1 $3/2008$ Rosenberg et al.2008/0068351A1 $3/2008$ Kim et al.2008/0068351A1 $3/2008$ Kim et al.2008/0068351A1 $3/2008$ Kim et al.2008/0068351A1 $2/2008$ Grant et al.2008/006328A1 $1/2009$ Ullrich et al.2009/0079550A1 $6/2009$ Cauwels et al.2009/0174671A1* $7/2009$ Tachi et al.2010/0141407A1 $6/2010$ Burrough et al.2010/0231567A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231540A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231541A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231550A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231550A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231550A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231550A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et		A1	9/2003	
2006/0279548A1 $12/2006$ Geaghan2007/0236450A1 $10/2007$ Colgate et al.2007/0236474A1 $10/2007$ Ramstein2008/0060856A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al.2008/0062122A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al.2008/0062143A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al.2008/0062144A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al.2008/0062145A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al.2008/0062145A1 $3/2008$ Rosenberg et al.2008/006351A1 $3/2008$ Rosenberg et al.2008/006351A1 $3/2008$ Kim et al.2008/0129705A1 $6/2008$ Kim et al.2008/0218488A1 $9/2008$ Grant et al.2009/00092328A1 $1/2009$ Ullrich et al.2009/0079550A1 $3/2009$ Makinen et al.2009/0079550A1 $3/2009$ Makinen et al.2009/0174671A1* $7/2009$ Tachi et al.2010/0141407A1 $6/2010$ Demuynck et al.2010/0141407A1 $6/2010$ Burrough et al.2010/0231567A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231579A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231541A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231550A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231550A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231550A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernand		A1		
2007/0236450A110/2007Colgate et al.2007/0236474A110/2007Ramstein2008/0060856A13/2008Shahoian et al.2008/0062122A13/2008Rosenberg et al.2008/0062143A13/2008Shahoian et al.2008/0062144A13/2008Shahoian et al.2008/0062145A13/2008Shahoian et al.2008/0062144A13/2008Rosenberg et al.2008/0062145A13/2008Rosenberg et al.2008/0062145A13/2008Kim et al.2008/0129705A16/2008Kim et al.2008/0303782A11/2/008Grant et al.2009/0002328A11/2/009Ullrich et al.2009/0107653A13/2009Makinen et al.2009/0174671A17/2009Tachi et al.2010/0174671A17/2009Tachi et al.2010/0174671A16/2010Demuynck et al.2010/0188364A17/2010Lin et al.2010/0231567A19/2010Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231508A19/2010Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231540A19/2010Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231550A19/2010Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231550A19/2010Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231550A19/2010Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231550A19/2010Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231550 </td <td></td> <td>A1</td> <td></td> <td>Gonzales</td>		A1		Gonzales
2007/0236474A110/2007Ramstein2008/0060856A13/2008Shahoian et al.2008/0062122A13/2008Rosenberg et al.2008/0062143A13/2008Shahoian et al.2008/0062144A13/2008Shahoian et al.2008/0062145A13/2008Shahoian et al.2008/0062145A13/2008Rosenberg et al.2008/006215A16/2008Kim et al.2008/0129705A16/2008Kim et al.2008/0129705A16/2008Grant et al.2008/0303782A11/2009Ullrich et al.2009/0079550A13/2009Makinen et al.2009/0079550A13/2009Cauwels et al.2009/0160763A16/2009Cauwels et al.2009/0174671A17/2009Tachi et al.2010/0176379A14/2010Demuynck et al.2010/0188164A17/2010Lin et al.2010/0231567A19/2010Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231508A19/2010Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231540A19/2010Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231541A19/2010Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231550A19/2010Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231541A19/2010Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231550A19/2010Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231550A19/2010Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/023155	2006/0279548	A1	12/2006	Geaghan
2008/0060856A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al.2008/0062122A1 $3/2008$ Rosenberg et al.2008/0062143A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al.2008/0062144A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al.2008/0062145A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al.2008/0068351A1 $3/2008$ Rosenberg et al.2008/0068351A1 $3/2008$ Kim et al.2008/0068351A1 $3/2008$ Kim et al.2008/0068351A1 $2/2008$ Yang et al.2008/00633782A1 $12/2008$ Grant et al.2008/00129705A1 $2/2009$ Ullrich et al.2009/0002328A1 $12/2009$ Makinen et al.2009/0179550A1 $6/2009$ Cauwels et al.2009/0174671A1* $7/2009$ Tachi et al.2010/0174671A1* $7/2010$ Demuynck et al.2010/0174671A1 $6/2010$ Burrough et al.2010/016818A1 $6/2010$ Burrough et al.2010/0231567A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231540A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231541A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231550A1 $9/$	2007/0236450	A1	10/2007	Colgate et al.
2008/0062122A1 $3/2008$ Rosenberg et al.2008/0062143A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al.2008/0062144A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al.2008/0062145A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al.2008/0068351A1 $3/2008$ Rosenberg et al.2008/0129705A1 $6/2008$ Kim et al.2008/0218488A1 $9/2008$ Yang et al.2008/0303782A1 $1/2009$ Ullrich et al.2009/002328A1 $1/2009$ Ullrich et al.2009/0160763A1 $6/2009$ Cauwels et al.2009/0174671A1* $7/2009$ Tachi et al.2010/0174671A1* $7/2009$ Tachi et al.2010/0174671A1 $6/2010$ Demuynck et al.2010/0174673A1 $6/2010$ Burrough et al.2010/0174674A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/018364A1 $7/2010$ Lin et al.2010/0231567A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231540A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231550A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2011/012717A1 $1/2011$ Pance et al.2011/0127578A1 $4/201$	2007/0236474	A1	10/2007	Ramstein
2008/0062143A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al.2008/0062144A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al.2008/0062145A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al.2008/0068351A1 $3/2008$ Rosenberg et al.2008/0129705A1 $6/2008$ Kim et al.2008/0218488A1 $9/2008$ Yang et al.2008/023782A1 $1/2009$ Ullrich et al.2009/0002328A1 $1/2009$ Ullrich et al.2009/0079550A1 $3/2009$ Makinen et al.2009/0079550A1 $3/2009$ Makinen et al.2009/0160763A1 $6/2009$ Cauwels et al.2009/0174671A1* $7/2009$ Tachi et al.2010/0174671A1 $6/2010$ Demuynck et al.2010/0141407A1 $6/2010$ Burrough et al.2010/015618A1 $6/2010$ Burrough et al.2010/0231567A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231539A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231540A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231550A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2011/012717A1 </td <td>2008/0060856</td> <td>A1</td> <td>3/2008</td> <td>Shahoian et al.</td>	2008/0060856	A1	3/2008	Shahoian et al.
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	2008/0062122	A1	3/2008	Rosenberg et al.
2008/0062145A1 $3/2008$ Shahoian et al.2008/0068351A1 $3/2008$ Rosenberg et al.2008/0129705A1 $6/2008$ Kim et al.2008/0129705A1 $9/2008$ Yang et al.2008/0303782A1 $12/2008$ Grant et al.2009/0002328A1 $1/2009$ Ullrich et al.2009/0002328A1 $1/2009$ Cauwels et al.2009/0160763A1 $6/2009$ Cauwels et al.2009/0160763A1 $6/2009$ Cauwels et al.2009/0174671A1* $7/2009$ Tachi et al.2010/0174671A1* $7/2010$ Demuynck et al.2010/0156818A1 $6/2010$ Burrough et al.2010/0158364A1 $7/2010$ Lin et al.2010/0231567A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231539A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231540A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231550A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231550A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231541A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231550A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231570A1 $1/2010$ Choi et al.2011/012717A1 $1/2011$ Pance et al.2011/012717A1 $4/2011$ Radivojevic2011/0187658A1 $8/2011$ Song et al.2011/0215914A1 $9/20111$ Edward	2008/0062143	A1	3/2008	Shahoian et al.
2008/0068351A1 $3/2008$ Rosenberg et al.2008/0129705A1 $6/2008$ Kim et al.2008/0218488A1 $9/2008$ Yang et al.2008/0303782A1 $1/2009$ Grant et al.2009/0002328A1 $1/2009$ Ullrich et al.2009/0079550A1 $3/2009$ Makinen et al.2009/0160763A1 $6/2009$ Cauwels et al.2009/0174671A1* $7/2009$ Tachi et al.2010/079379A1 $4/2010$ Demuynck et al.2010/0156818A1 $6/2010$ Heubel et al.2010/0156818A1 $6/2010$ Burrough et al.2010/0231367A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231539A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231540A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231550A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231541A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0231550A1 $9/2010$ Cruz-Hernandez et al.2010/0307900A1 $12/2010$ Choi et al.2011/012717A1 $1/2011$ Pance et al.2011/012717A1 $4/2011$ Radivojevic2011/0187658A1	2008/0062144	A1	3/2008	Shahoian et al.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2008/0062145	A1	3/2008	Shahoian et al.
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	2008/0068351	A1	3/2008	Rosenberg et al.
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2008/0129705	A1	6/2008	
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	2008/0218488	A1	9/2008	Yang et al.
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	2008/0303782	A1	12/2008	e .
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	2009/0002328	A1	1/2009	Ullrich et al.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Al		
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		Al	6/2009	Cauwels et al.
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	2009/0174671	A1*	7/2009	Tachi et al
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$				
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$				
2010/0188364 A1 7/2010 Lin et al.   2010/0231367 A1 9/2010 Cruz-Hernandez et al.   2010/0231508 A1 9/2010 Cruz-Hernandez et al.   2010/0231539 A1 9/2010 Cruz-Hernandez et al.   2010/0231540 A1 9/2010 Cruz-Hernandez et al.   2010/0231541 A1 9/2010 Cruz-Hernandez et al.   2010/0231550 A1 9/2010 Chuz-Hernandez et al.   2010/0231570 A1 9/2010 Chuz-Hernandez et al.   2010/0307900 A1 12/2010 Choi et al.   2011/0012717 A1 1/2011 Pance et al.   2011/0187658 A1 8/2011 Song et al.   2011/0215914 A1 9/2011 Edwards		A1		
2010/0231367 A1 9/2010 Cruz-Hernandez et al.   2010/0231508 A1 9/2010 Cruz-Hernandez et al.   2010/0231508 A1 9/2010 Cruz-Hernandez et al.   2010/0231539 A1 9/2010 Cruz-Hernandez et al.   2010/0231540 A1 9/2010 Cruz-Hernandez et al.   2010/0231541 A1 9/2010 Cruz-Hernandez et al.   2010/0231550 A1 9/2010 Cruz-Hernandez et al.   2010/0231550 A1 9/2010 Cruz-Hernandez et al.   2010/0231550 A1 9/2010 Cruz-Hernandez et al.   2010/0231570 A1 12/2010 Choi et al.   2011/012717 A1 1/2011 Pance et al.   2011/0012717 A1 4/2011 Radivojevic   2011/0187658 A1 8/2011 Song et al.   2011/0215914 A1 9/2011 Edwards				
2010/0231508 A1 9/2010 Cruz-Hernandez et al.   2010/0231539 A1 9/2010 Cruz-Hernandez et al.   2010/0231540 A1 9/2010 Cruz-Hernandez et al.   2010/0231541 A1 9/2010 Cruz-Hernandez et al.   2010/0231550 A1 9/2010 Cruz-Hernandez et al.   2010/0307900 A1 12/2010 Choi et al.   2011/0012717 A1 1/2011 Pance et al.   2011/0079449 A1 4/2011 Radivojevic   2011/0187658 A1 8/2011 Song et al.   2011/0215914 A1 9/2011 Edwards				
2010/0231539 A1 9/2010 Cruz-Hernandez et al.   2010/0231540 A1 9/2010 Cruz-Hernandez et al.   2010/0231541 A1 9/2010 Cruz-Hernandez et al.   2010/0231550 A1 9/2010 Cruz-Hernandez et al.   2010/0231550 A1 9/2010 Cruz-Hernandez et al.   2010/0231550 A1 9/2010 Cruz-Hernandez et al.   2010/0307900 A1 12/2010 Choi et al.   2011/0012717 A1 1/2011 Pance et al.   2011/0079449 A1 4/2011 Radivojevic   2011/0187658 A1 8/2011 Song et al.   2011/0215914 A1 9/2011 Edwards		A1		
2010/0231540 A1 9/2010 Cruz-Hernandez et al.   2010/0231541 A1 9/2010 Cruz-Hernandez et al.   2010/0231550 A1 9/2010 Cruz-Hernandez et al.   2010/0231550 A1 9/2010 Cruz-Hernandez et al.   2010/0231550 A1 12/2010 Choi et al.   2011/0012717 A1 1/2011 Pance et al.   2011/0179449 A1 4/2011 Radivojevic   2011/0187658 A1 8/2011 Song et al.   2011/0215914 A1 9/2011 Edwards				
2010/0231541 A1 9/2010 Cruz-Hernandez et al.   2010/0231550 A1 9/2010 Cruz-Hernandez et al.   2010/0307900 A1 12/2010 Choi et al.   2011/0012717 A1 1/2011 Pance et al.   2011/0079449 A1 4/2011 Radivojevic   2011/0187658 A1 8/2011 Song et al.   2011/0215914 A1 9/2011 Edwards				
2010/0231550 A1 9/2010 Cruz-Hernandez et al.   2010/0307900 A1 12/2010 Choi et al.   2011/0012717 A1 1/2011 Pance et al.   2011/007949 A1 4/2011 Radivojevic   2011/0187658 A1 8/2011 Song et al.   2011/0215914 A1 9/2011 Edwards				
2010/0307900A112/2010Choi et al.2011/0012717A11/2011Pance et al.2011/0079449A14/2011Radivojevic2011/0187658A18/2011Song et al.2011/0215914A19/2011Edwards				
2011/0012717 A1 1/2011 Pance et al.   2011/0079449 A1 4/2011 Radivojevic   2011/0187658 A1 8/2011 Song et al.   2011/0215914 A1 9/2011 Edwards				
2011/0079449   A1   4/2011   Radivojevic     2011/0187658   A1   8/2011   Song et al.     2011/0215914   A1   9/2011   Edwards				
2011/0187658   A1   8/2011   Song et al.     2011/0215914   A1   9/2011   Edwards				
2011/0215914 A1 9/2011 Edwards				
ZUTI/UZUTUZT AT TU/ZUTI WIODATIES CLAL				
	2011/0201021	AI	10/2011	mouarres et al.

2012/0038559 A1	2/2012	Radivojevic et al.
2012/0062516 A1	3/2012	Chen et al.
2012/0068957 A1	3/2012	Puskarich et al.
2012/0075210 A1	3/2012	Coni et al.
2012/0268386 A1	10/2012	Karamath et al.
2012/0268412 A1	10/2012	Cruz-Hernandez et al.
2013/0057509 A1		Cruz-Hernandez et al.
2013/0106774 A1*	5/2013	Radivojevic G06F 3/044
		345/174

#### OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Cerundolo, I., "Effect of Charge Migration in Electrostatic Tactile Displays," MS Thesis, Dept of Mechanical Engineering, Northwestern University, 2010.uting systems, 2009, pp. 299-308.

Grimnes, S., "Eiectrovibration, cutaneous sensation of microampere current," Acta. Physio/. Scand., vol. 118, No. I, pp. 19-25, Jan. 1983. Kaczmarek, K., "Eiectrotactile display of computer graphics for bline-final report," National Eye Institute grant 5-ROI-EY10019-08, Dec. 23, 2004.

Kaczmarek, K., J. Webster, P. Pach-y-Rita, and W. Tompkins, Electrolactile and vibrotactile displays for sensory substitution systems. IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering, 1991. 38(I) pp. 1-16.

Kaczmarek, K., K. Nammi, A.K. Agarwal, M.E. Tyler, S.J. Haase, and D.J. Beebe. "Polarity effect in electrovibration for tactile display." IEEE Trans on Biomedical Engineering, 53(10):2047-2054,2006.

Mallinckrodt, E., A Hughes, and W. Sleator, Perception by the Skin of Electrically Induced Vibrations. Science, 1953. 118(3062): pp. 277-278.

Strong, R. M., and D. E. Troxel, "An electrotactile display," IEEE Trans. Man-Mach Syst., vol. MMS-11, No. I, p. 72-79, 1970.

Tang, H. and D. Beebe, A microfabricated electrostatic haptic display for persons with visual imairments. IEEE Transactions on Rehabilitation Engineering, 1998. 6(3): pp. 241-248.

Yamamoto, Electrostatic tactile display for presenting surface roughness sensation, pp. 680-684, Dec. 2003.

www.senseg.com; Sep. 20, 2012; pp. 1-2.

http://www.teslatouch.com/; Sep. 20, 2012; pp. 1-4.

ISR and WO for PCT/US2014/042953 dated Oct. 9, 2014.

\* cited by examiner



FIG. 1a



FIG. 1b



FIG. 2



FIG. 3a



FIG. 3b



FIG. 4



FIG. 5a







FIG. 6







FIG. 7b



FIG. 8a





FIG. 9a





FIG. 9c





FIG. 10c





FIG. 12

#### HAPTIC DISPLAY WITH SIMULTANEOUS SENSING AND ACTUATION

#### CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION

This application claims the benefit and priority of U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 61/838,566, filed Jun. 24, 2013, the disclosure of which is hereby incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

#### CONTRACTUAL ORIGIN OF THE INVENTION

This invention was made with government support under grant number IIS-0964075 awarded by the National Science <sup>15</sup> Foundation. The government has certain rights in the invention.

#### FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention generally relates to touch interfaces for surface haptic devices (SHD), and more particularly to touch interfaces having simultaneous sensing and actuation that can provide multi-point haptics, which includes providing independent haptic effects experienced by separate fin-<sup>25</sup> gers of a user.

#### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Touch interfaces can be found in laptop computers, gaming devices, automobile dashboards, kiosks, operating rooms, factories, automatic tellers, and a host of portable devices such as cameras and phones. Touch interfaces provide flexible interaction possibilities that discrete mechanical controls do not. But prior art touch interfaces 35 sacrifice an important part of the human experience: haptics. "Haptics" refers to the perceptual system associated with touch. Haptics lets us touch type, find a light switch in the dark, wield a knife and fork, enjoy petting a dog or holding our spouse's hand. Haptics is not just about moving one's 40 hands, but it is about feeling things, recognizing objects (even without looking at them), and controlling the way that we interact with the world.

Haptics in the form of vibration is a familiar feature of electronic products such as pagers, cell phones, and smart 45 phones. Although vibration has long been used as a silent ringer or alarm, it is increasingly used to provide tactile feedback to the human hand (especially the fingertips) when using a touch surface, such as a touch screen. Immersion Corporation, for instance, provides a number of hardware 50 and software solutions for vibration-based haptic feedback. Their technology is considerably more advanced than what was traditionally used in pagers. They make use of piezoelectric actuators to enable high bandwidth control of vibration profiles. Nonetheless, their approach has certain draw- 55 backs. For instance, the entire device vibrates so that any effect is felt in the hand holding the device as well as at the fingertip touching the touch surface or screen. Furthermore, it does not support multi-point haptics: because the entire device vibrates, each fingertip touching the screen experi- 60 ences the same effect.

Recently, electrostatic actuation has been explored as a means to generate vibrations localized to the fingertip. Senseg Ltd. makes use of electrostatic forces to create vibrations of the fingertip that enable one to detect a variety 65 of textures on a touch surface. Senseg's technology has the advantage that it generates no mechanical vibrations except 2

at the surface of the skin. While their technology also has the potential to support multi-point haptics simply by using multiple electrodes on the same surface of a screen, in practice this is difficult to do. One reason is that it is difficult to make low-resistance electrical connection to electrodes that are not near the edge of a transparent screen. Thus, of the multiple electrodes, the ones not near the edge are slow to charge. Another reason is that the haptics must co-exist with some means of sensing fingertip locations. The most common technique for multi-touch sensing is "projected capacitive" sensing, which also makes use of electrostatic charges. To minimize the interaction between the electrostatic haptics and the projected capacitance sensing, the Senseg solution makes use of a single electrode for haptics, the size of the whole touch screen.

Multi-Point Haptics

A co-pending patent application by the present inventors (U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/468,818, entitled Electrostatic Multi-touch Haptic Display) describes a number of 20 ways of achieving multi-point electrostatic haptics. Certain aspects of that disclosure are noted herein as a background. For instance, the basis of electrostatic haptics is the modulation of frictional force via an electric field. The electric field is established at the point of contact between the 25 fingertip and the touch surface. This is accomplished by placing one or more electrodes (haptic devices) on the touch surface of the substrate and insulating those electrodes from the fingertip with a dielectric layer. To set up an electric field, a circuit must be closed through the fingertip. There are two 30 principal ways of doing this.

In the prior art, others have taught the method shown in FIG. 1a, which is a figure from U.S. Pat. No. 7,924,144, wherein capacitance of a finger-dielectric-electrode system is part of a circuit that is closed through a second contact at some other part of the body. Thus, FIG. 1a shows an apparatus which implements a capacitive electrosensory interface, having an electrical circuit that is closed between two separate contact locations, wherein both of the two locations are fingertips.

The present inventors have devised an alternative method shown in FIG. 1*b*, which is similar to a figure from U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/468,695, entitled Touch Interface Device And Method For Applying Controllable Shear Forces To A Human Appendage, wherein two separate electrodes E and E' (haptic devices) are covered by an insulating layer L and would be placed on a front or top surface of a substrate (not shown) at a single contact or touch location. The circuit is therefore closed through a single touch of a fingertip itself, not involving the rest of the body. This has the benefit of not requiring involvement of some other part of the body, but it has another benefit as well, which will be discussed herein.

To apply the two-electrode technique, it is necessary to create a suitable array of electrode pairs on the touch surface. As illustrated in FIG. **2**, one approach to accomplish this arrangement for an apparatus, such as a mobile device **2**, would be to simply tile a top surface or touch surface **4** with electrode pairs **6** that include electrodes **8** and **10**. This top layer of electrodes has the advantages that electrodes **8**, **10** can be placed precisely where they are needed on the surface **4** and that all electrodes can potentially be patterned from the same conductive layer. It will be appreciated that wires can be patterned from the same conductive material as the electrodes, or can be made of higher conductivity material.

However, this configuration has the disadvantage present in some prior art with respect to the need for respective thin

conductive traces **14**, **16** to connect to many of the electrodes, such as those that are not near an edge. Thin conductive traces **14**, **16** with sufficiently low resistivity can be difficult to produce, especially if they need to be transparent to meet other design objectives. Another potential <sup>5</sup> difficulty with this approach is that the electrode count may become quite large, especially as the touch surface becomes larger. If the x-axis requires N electrodes and the y-axis requires M, then the total electrode count with pairs, as shown in FIG. **2**, is 2\*M\*N. Nonetheless, patterns like this <sup>10</sup> one that tile the surface with electrode pairs may be used in certain situations, such as with devices having smaller screen sizes.

A second approach to creating an electrode array for the touch surface of an apparatus is shown in FIG. 3a and is referred to as a "Lattice." The diagram in FIG. 3a focuses on the electrode array, for ease of understanding. While a pattern in the form of a lattice network of lines of diamondshaped electrodes is shown, such a pattern and shape of 20 electrodes need not be used, but the emphasis is on covering the surface (here shown as being generally planar) with N\*M electrodes that can serve in pairs. In this figure, electrodes 20 run along or parallel to a first axis (for example the x-axis), and electrodes 22 run along or parallel to a 25 second axis (for example the y-axis). The region where a given y-axis electrode 22 crosses a given x-axis electrode 20 defines a two-electrode region (like that shown in FIG. 1b) where electrostatic forces can be applied to a user's skin, such as to a fingertip.

As shown in FIG. 3a, any electrode 20 (x-axis) and electrode 22 (y-axis) can form a pair. If different voltages are applied to, for example, the electrodes 20 and 22, then an intersection of the respective electrodes 20, 22 becomes an active region or location where a finger will experience increased electrostatic force. In practice, AC voltages may be used and maximum electrostatic forces are produced when the two voltages are 180 degrees out of phase with one another.

The magnitude of the electrostatic force can be modulated in various ways. As a few examples, one approach is to change the magnitude of the voltages applied to the electrodes. Another is to vary the duty cycle of the voltage waveforms applied to the electrodes. Yet another is to control applied voltage or current based on a measure of the 45 electrical charge on the electrodes 20, 22. A further approach is to vary the phase relationship between the voltages on the two electrodes 20, 22. The electrostatic force is maximized when the voltages on the two electrodes 20, 22 are completely out-of-phase with one another, and minimized when they are in phase because the circuit then is no longer closed locally through the touch, contact or engagement of a user's finger, such as at a fingertip, but must be closed through the capacitance of the rest of the user's body. If  $C_f$  is the capacitance from the finger to the electrodes and  $\check{C}_b$  is the capacitance from the rest of the body to the device ground, then the attenuation factor (ratio of force when touching in-phase electrodes to force when touching out-of-phase electrodes) is:

Attenuation = 
$$\left(\frac{C_b}{C_b + C_f}\right)^2$$

Normally,  $C_f$  is significantly larger than  $C_b$  (at least by a 65 factor of 5), so the attenuation factor is quite significant: more than an order of magnitude.

4

A pattern in the form of a Lattice network or configuration also supports multi-point haptics to a certain extent. This is illustrated in FIG. 3b, which shows a pattern having a lattice network of electrodes that further includes electrodes 24 that run along or parallel to a first axis (for example the x-axis), and electrodes 26 that run along or parallel to a second axis (for example the y-axis), and in which the intersections between electrodes 20 and 22, as well as trace intersections between electrodes 24 and 26, each are used to define or control the electrostatic force acting on two respective fingers, with a first fingertip F represented by a first oval and a second fingertip FF represented by a second oval. There are, however, finger locations where this multi-point capability may break down. If, for instance, two fingertips lie on the same electrode, then it is difficult to apply very different forces to the two fingers. The reason for this is that the finger-to-finger impedance through the user's body is quite small relative to the electrode-to-finger impedance  $(1/(f C_f))$ where f is the frequency of AC excitation. Thus, for instance, a second finger on an active x-axis electrode still has the benefit of the active y-axis electrode under the first fingertip. Note that in the example, x and y could be reversed. To ensure that the force on each finger is independent of the force on each other finger, it is necessary that they be parts of different circuits. As described above, this could be accomplished by the arrangement in FIG. 2, but with drawbacks.

Multi-Touch Sensing

Most modern multi-touch sensors are of the "projected capacitance" (pCap) variety. These sensors generally lie in a planar orientation and work on the basis of mutual capacitance between a set of transmit (Tx) electrodes that run along or parallel to a first axis (for example the y-axis) and a set of receive (Rx) electrodes that run along or parallel to a second axis (for example the x-axis), and thus are arranged orthogonally to one another. While there are many different electrode patterns in use, the most common for pCap sensors is the interlocked diamond pattern shown in FIG. **4**. The Tx and Rx lines are either on different layers, or they are on the same layer, but bridges are formed where the lines would otherwise intersect, so that no contact occurs between a Tx line and any Rx line.

There is a capacitive coupling from each Tx line to each Rx line, and the amount of this mutual capacitance is reduced if a finger is placed near the intersection of the two. The finger in effect "steals" some of the electric field lines that would otherwise have reached the Rx line, as represented in FIG. 5a, from Zimmerman et al., 1995. This "human shunt" is a standard mode for pCap sensing. By measuring the mutual capacitance (for which there are numerous known techniques) for each Tx-Rx pair, and interpolating the results, the centroids of the respective fingers can be located.

The same electrode pattern also can be used to measure finger locations using an older self-capacitance technique, rather than mutual capacitance. Under this approach the perpendicular lines (Rx and Tx lines) are treated equivalently. Each electrode (whether in an Rx or Tx line) has a capacitance to ground, and this capacitance is increased when a finger is brought nearby. That makes it particularly easy to detect that a finger is somewhere along any given line. X and Y coordinates are found separately by querying both the x-axis Tx and y-axis Rx electrode lines. The limitation of this approach is that it does not support multi-touch sensing very well. One must consider what happens when two fingers are placed on the touch surface. In general, two x-axis Tx lines of electrodes and two y-axis

4

Rx lines of electrodes will respond. But those lines cross at four points, for example (x1,y1)(x1,y2)(x2,y1)(x2,y2), not two points. Two locations are correct and two other locations are misidentifications or "ghosts." With such as system, there is not a good way to disambiguate the actual fingers 5 from the ghosts.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The purpose and advantages of the disclosed subject 10 matter will be set forth in and apparent from the description and drawings that follow, as well as will be learned by practice of the claimed subject matter. The present disclosure generally provides systems and methods for touch interfaces that provide for simultaneous sensing and actua- 15 tion that facilitate multi-point haptics.

The present disclosure generally provides novel and nonobvious systems and methods for producing multi-point haptics, which the present inventors term "simultaneous sensing and actuation" (SSA). In one example, the present 20 disclosure makes use of two layers of electrodes: a top layer (near the touch surface of an insulating substrate or sheet) for haptics (referred to herein as haptic devices), and a bottom layer (attached to the bottom surface of the insulating substrate or sheet) for reliable sensing (referred to herein 25 as sensing devices). The two electrode sets have substantially the same pattern as one another. These are referred to herein as "mirrored electrodes." In a further example, the present disclosure makes use of a single array of electrodes disposed on the front surface of a touch substrate that may 30 serve as both surface haptics devices and sensing devices.

In a first aspect, the present disclosure presents a touch interface having simultaneous sensing and actuation comprising: an insulating substrate; one or more surface haptic devices connected to a front surface of a substrate and being 35 arranged in a pattern; and one or more sensing devices connected to a rear surface of the substrate, wherein the sensing devices are aligned with and arranged in a substantially similar pattern to the pattern of the one or more surface haptic devices that are connected to the front surface of the 40 substrate.

In a second aspect, the disclosure presents a touch interface having simultaneous sensing and actuation comprising: an insulating substrate; one or more electrodes capable of providing electrostatic actuation that are connected to a front 45 surface of a substrate and arranged in a pattern; said one more electrodes connected to the front surface being covered by a protective layer; and wherein the electrodes on the front surface of the substrate provide a haptic effect and serve as sensing devices to measure a touch location. 50

It will be appreciated that touch interfaces associated with the aforementioned first aspect provide strong capacitive coupling between each surface haptic device connected to the front surface of the substrate and each respective sensing device aligned therewith and connected to the rear surface of 55 the substrate. Also, this strong capacitive coupling enables changes in capacitance associated with the surface haptic devices (due, for instance, to touch by a finger) to be detected from the sensing devices.

It further will be appreciated that for touch interfaces 60 associated with the aforementioned second aspect, the one or more electrodes that provide electrostatic actuation for haptic effects also provide capacitance-based sensing of finger location on the front surface of the substrate.

It is to be understood that both the foregoing general 65 description and the following detailed description are exemplary and provided for purposes of explanation only, and are

not restrictive of the subject matter claimed. Further features and objects of the present disclosure will become more fully apparent from the following detailed description, taken with the following drawings, and from the appended claims.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

In describing the example embodiments, reference is made to the accompanying drawing figures wherein like parts have like reference numerals, and wherein:

FIG. 1a is a figure from a prior art patent of an apparatus which implements a capacitive electrosensory interface, having an electrical circuit that is closed between two separate contact locations that are contacted by two different fingers.

FIG. 1*b* is a portion of a figure from a co-pending application by the present inventors which shows closing of an electrical circuit through two different electrodes at the same contact location by a single finger.

FIG. **2** is a diagram of an arrangement of electrodes for an apparatus, such as a mobile device.

FIG. 3a is a diagram of a first example pattern of electrodes being in a lattice network.

FIG. 3b is a further diagram of an example pattern of electrodes in a lattice network and showing multi-point haptics.

FIG. **4** is a diagram showing a diamond pattern of electrodes that may be used in pCap sensors.

FIG. 5a is a diagram that represents "the human shunt" as a standard mode for pCap sensing.

FIG. 5b is a diagram that represents "the human transmitter" as a secondary effect for pCap sensing, but may be a dominant effect when the electrodes are on the front surface of a substrate.

FIG. **6** is a simplified diagram of a touch interface that represents use of "mirrored electrodes" with a front or top (haptic) layer of electrodes in a pattern and a rear or bottom (sensing) layer of electrodes being in a substantially similar pattern and, for ease of viewing, the vertical separation between the layers is greatly exaggerated, only a few lines of electrodes are shown and in a very simplistic form.

FIG. 7*a* is a diagram of a standard or typical pCap electrical arrangement where rounded rectangles represent bottom surface (sensing) electrodes.

FIG. 7b is a diagram of a mirrored electrodes electrical arrangement where the finger acts as a transmitter.

FIG. 8*a* is a diagram of electrodes having three-fold symmetry.

FIG. 8b is a diagram showing a bridging technique for electrodes of FIG. 8a having three-fold symmetry and that requires the same processing steps as needed for interlocked diamond electrode patterns.

FIG. **9***a* is a diagram wherein circles represent finger touches and showing that, with two fingers and three-fold symmetry, a misidentification or "ghost" cannot occur because such as ghost would require three "live" electrodes all of which must cross at one location.

FIG. 9b is a diagram similar to that of FIG. 9a but showing that, with three fingers and three-fold symmetry, a ghost can occur only in certain configurations.

FIG. 9c is a diagram similar to that of FIG. 9b but showing that, if one of the three fingers moves just a small amount, with three-fold symmetry, the ghost disappears.

FIG. 10a is a diagram wherein circles represent finger touches and lines represent data from self-capacitance that are used in sensing finger position.

FIG. 10b is a diagram wherein the line intersections in FIG. 10a have been computed and indicated by points.

FIG. 10c is a diagram wherein a cluster analysis has been used with the computed intersections from FIG. 10b, so as to discard unlikely finger touches, and wherein a ghost <sup>5</sup> remains but is of reduced magnitude.

FIG. **11** is a diagram wherein circles represent two finger touches lying on the same electrode (in this illustration, the x-axis common electrode is referenced by a dashed line), where the finger touches can be addressed independently by keeping that electrode electrically isolated via a high impedance connection to ground, and forces can be applied to each finger using the other two electrodes that pass beneath it.

FIG. **12** is a diagram somewhat like that in FIG. **11**, but <sup>15</sup> showing a finger touch arrangement in which tri-intersection is inadequate to assign all forces on the three finger touches.

It should be understood that the drawings are not to scale. While some mechanical details of a touch interface device, including details of fastening means and other plan and 20 section views of the particular arrangements, have not been included, such details are considered well within the comprehension of those of skill in the art in light of the present disclosure. It also should be understood that the present invention is not limited to the example embodiments illus-25 trated and that the examples are shown in simplified form, so as to focus on the principles systems and methods and to avoid including structures that are not necessary to the disclosure and that would over complicate the drawings.

# DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The present disclosure provides several examples relating to touch interface devices that are intended to provide 35 multi-point haptics by use of simultaneous sensing and actuation (SSA) in a surface haptic device (SHD). The touch interface devices include a substrate to which electrodes are connected, and a controller operably connected with the electrodes for generating haptic effects and sensing finger 40 location. A controller may utilize any of the approaches disclosed herein and be configured to operate with any of the patterns of electrodes. In one example, the multi-point haptics having simultaneous sensing and actuation may be facilitated by using mirrored electrodes. However, it has 45 been discovered that the methods of SSA and ghost disambiguation taught herein benefit from but do not require use of mirrored electrodes. Indeed, it will be appreciated that a system and methods for providing a touch interface of the present disclosure generally may be embodied within 50 numerous configurations and for use with various devices. One further example of which includes use of a single array of electrodes disposed on the front or top surface of the touch substrate, which may serve as both surface haptics devices and sensing devices. The purposes and advantages 55 of the disclosed subject matter will be set forth in and apparent from the description and drawings that follow, as well as will be learned by practice of the claimed subject matter.

As noted previously, to ensure that the force on each 60 finger is independent of the force on each other finger, it is necessary that they be parts of different circuits. The present disclosure teaches a further advantageous method of a guaranteeing independence for at least two fingers by use of at least tri-intersection of electrodes on the top surface. In 65 addition, the disclosure teaches a system having a failure mode that uses mirrored electrodes by which, if a haptic

device is scratched, the haptics may stop functioning, but the capacitive sensing continues to operate.

With respect to systems using mutual capacitance, it is important to understand that the finger actually produces two effects when brought near an intersection of Tx-Rx lines of electrodes. These are illustrated in Zimmerman et al., 1995, which referred to the effect in FIG. 5a as "the human shunt" and the effect in FIG. 5b as "the human transmitter." The first effect is the normal pCap model because the second effect is quite small when the electrodes are separated from the fingertip by more than about 0.1 mm of glass. Electrostatic haptics, however, places electrodes on the front or top (touch) surface of the glass substrate. In preferred embodiments, those electrodes are separated from the finger by only a thin layer of dielectric, for instance, 1 micron of HfO<sub>2</sub>. The use of such a thin protective layer allows suitably large electric field strengths to be developed at reasonably low voltages (e.g., less than 100 volts). This situation greatly increases the importance of transmission relative to shunting, such that it becomes the dominant effect when the electrodes are on the front surface of the glass substrate. The fingertip in effect becomes a switch that (almost) directly connects the Tx line electrodes to the Rx line electrodes.

There are several implications of this reversal of magnitudes of the two effects. On the one hand, the transmitter effect is quite large, which bodes well for achieving a high signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), presuming that the front or top surface electrodes are used for sensing. On the other hand, the transmitter effect does not lend itself to multi-touch sensing because transmission occurs not just through one fingertip, but from one fingertip to the next due to the low finger-to-finger impedance through the body. A more fundamental issue, however, is whether one chooses to use the front-surface electrodes for sensing at all.

The potential difficulty with front or top surface electrodes is that they are not as well protected as rear-surface electrodes. In a typical pCap sensor, the electrodes (sensing devices) are placed behind a substrate such as a sheet of glass or clear plastic (i.e., they are "rear-surface", or on an even deeper layer). The glass or plastic serves as a so-called "cover lens" and is a protective element that keeps the electrodes from being damaged by abrasion or scratches. As mentioned above, however, the electrodes responsible for haptics (haptic devices) need to be on the front surface in order to produce a large tactile effect using a modest voltage. To protect these electrodes, it is advisable to use a very durable dielectric covering. For instance, one micron of HfO<sub>2</sub> or TiO<sub>2</sub>, or other dielectric materials known in the art, can provide a very durable protective layer. These materials could be replaced by others known in the art that offer a good combination of scratch resistance, abrasion resistance, and reasonably high dielectric constant (e.g., a relative permittivity of greater than 5).

The inventors have discovered that it is possible to use a single layer of electrodes as both haptics devices and sensing devices. Thus, patterns of electrodes such as those shown in FIGS. **2**, 3a, 3b, **4** and 8a-**12** could be employed and used both as haptics devices and as sensing devices. The protective materials may provide adequate protection for many touch interfaces, however, they cannot absolutely guarantee that no scratches will occur to the top surface electrodes. Some common materials such as quartz and hardened steel may be hard enough to cause scratches when in contact with such protective materials. If a scratch occurs, one failure mode that may prove acceptable is for haptics to stop functioning, but for capacitive sensing to keep on operating.

Such systems, however, do present compromises that leave a further need in the art for an improved way of providing multi-point haptics.

For improved protection of sensing devices, it may be desirable to use the pCap practice of placing sensor elec- 5 trodes underneath the cover glass. However, this presents a challenge to achieve high-quality multi-touch sensing on a protected layer, in spite of possible screening by electrodes used for haptics on a surface layer. This is achieved by the use of "mirrored electrodes." In this context, the term 10 "mirrored" does not refer to a reflective surface finish. Rather, mirrored electrodes is the use of two layers of electrodes: a top layer (near the touch surface of an insulating substrate or sheet) for haptics (referred to herein as haptic devices), and a bottom layer (attached to the bottom 15 surface of the insulating substrate or sheet) for sensing (referred to herein as sensing devices). The two sets of electrodes have substantially the same pattern as one another, and thus in a general sense can be said to present a mirror image of each other. Thus, touch interfaces using 20 mirrored electrodes have both top and bottom layers of electrodes that are in substantially similar patterns, where the patterns may include for example, those shown in FIGS. 2, 3a, 3b, 4 and 8a-12.

Simultaneous Sensing and Actuation

Thus, as illustrated in FIG. 6, one aspect of the present disclosure is the use of mirrored electrodes, which include substantially identical, aligned, electrode patterns with electrodes 30, 32 (haptic devices) on the front or top (touch) surface 34 and electrodes 30', 32' (sensing devices) on the 30 rear or bottom surface 36 of an insulating substrate 38 or sheet.

The concept of mirroring can be extended to any electrode pattern, including for instance, as previously noted, the patterns in FIGS. **2**, 3a, 3b, **4** and 8a-12. The patterns also 35 may be applied to any portion of a surface, from discrete or isolated locations to the entire surface. For instance, with the pattern shown in FIG. **6**, the mirrored arrangement provides a strong capacitive coupling between each front or top (touch) surface electrode **30**, **32** and its mirrored or similarly 40 arranged rear or bottom surface electrode **30'**, **32'**. In general, mirroring should work any time that the glass or other substrate thickness is significantly less than the typical electrode width, and even if the substrate is not planar. For instance, the electrodes may be 5 mm wide when using a 45 glass substrate 1 mm thick.

As a result of mirroring, a signal sent to a bottom electrode (sensing device) will induce a signal on the top surface electrode (haptic device) above it, even if the top surface electrode has been transected by one or more 50 scratches. Thus, this method does not depend significantly on the resistance of the top surface (haptic) electrodes, and therefore, the bottom surface (sensing) electrodes continue to work even if the top surface electrodes are compromised by scratches. If top and bottom surface electrodes overlay 55 each other in a one-to-one relationship (either partially or completely), then there should be minimal mixing of signals between adjacent electrodes. In particular, the top surface electrodes can be used for haptic actuation, without those top surface electrodes spanning across two or more lower sur- 60 face sensing electrodes and thus mixing or blurring the desired sensing performance of the lower electrodes. Indeed, the mirrored arrangement offers several interesting possibilities.

One possibility is to use some of the bottom lines of 65 electrodes **32**' as (transmit) Tx electrodes and others, such as electrodes **30**' as (receive) Rx electrodes, as is normally done

in pCap sensing. A finger placed above a particular location of a Tx-Rx junction should produce a large signal regardless of the presence of scratches on the top surface. This is illustrated in FIG. 7b where the finger acts as a transmitter, which can be compared to a typical or standard pCap configuration shown in FIG. 7a where the finger acts as a shunt. For a wide range of parameter values, this arrangement produces a strong effect with better signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) than typical pCap. This improved SNR offers several potential benefits, such as faster sensing (especially useful for haptics), higher resolution, or lower power consumption.

Unfortunately, the strong effect just described is due to the transmitting phenomenon, not to the shunting one. Because transmitting can also occur via a signal from one finger to another, modeling thus far suggests that ghosting, or misidentification of finger touches, may occur. Suppose that two fingers are placed on the surface at (x1,y1) and (x2,y2). A signal sent along x1 will produce a result at both y1 and y2. Thus, (x1,y2) represents a ghost finger location. Accordingly, further approaches may be utilized to achieve high-resolution multi-touch haptics without ghosting. Multi-Touch Sensing With Mirrored Electrodes

From the above discussion, mirrored front or top (haptics) and rear or bottom (sensing) electrodes serve to "project" the bottom activation pattern to the top. Moreover, strong capacitive coupling from the top electrodes to the finger should allow significantly higher SNR than with conventional pCap sensing. Unfortunately, ghosts or misidentifications of finger touches can appear because of the enhanced "human transmitter" effect (in contrast to the "human shunt" effect that dominates for back-surface electrodes), in combination with the significant signal conductivity from finger to finger. This same difficulty will occur if the top electrodes are used directly for sensing as well as haptics. The present disclosure, however, provides newly developed approaches to multi-touch haptics, overcoming this disadvantage. Four such methods, each with its own strengths, are disclosed herein.

Method 1: Tissue Resistivity

Because of the resistance from one finger to the next, the ghosts or misidentifications are in fact of lesser intensity than the actual fingers. The magnitude of the intensity difference is characterized by an RC time constant determined by the capacitance from the top surface electrode to the fingertip  $(\sim 1 \text{ nF})$  and the body's internal resistance from one fingertip to the next (estimated at 100 to 1000 ohms). This time constant is longer than the time constant for querying an electrode, which is governed by the resistance of the electrode (assuming Indium-Tin Oxide (ITO), about 1-10 k  $\Omega$ ) and the capacitance of that electrode to ground (about 100 pF). If the time constant for finger-to-finger transmission is significantly longer than that for querying an electrode, it is possible to distinguish individual finger touches by using an excitation signal that would be too fast to transmit through the fingers. Doing so will, in effect, isolate one finger from the other finger(s). The timing constraints are challenging given the resistance of ITO electrodes, but the approach becomes more promising if ITO is replaced by another material having lower resistance. Several such materials, including silver nanowires and graphene, are known in the art. Another approach is to use thicker layers of ITO, which have lower resistivity. Helpfully, it is not necessary that the time constant for fingerto-finger transmission actually be longer than that for querying an electrode. It may be adequate that the time constant

diminish the ghost signals sufficiently to distinguish them from the actual finger touch signals.

Method 2: Mutual Capacitance

Although the human transmitter effect is stronger than the human shunt effect for the electrodes directly beneath the 5 finger, this is not necessarily true for other nearby electrodes. For other pairs of top surface electrodes that are near to the finger, but not directly beneath it, the mutual capacitance will be diminished. Thus, there will be a pattern of reduced mutual capacitance near to an actual finger touch, but not 10 near to a ghost. Candidate finger touches can therefore be identified using self-capacitance, and disambiguated from ghosts by using mutual capacitance of nearby electrode intersections.

#### Method 3: Computational

By collecting the whole matrix of mutual signal intensities, the linear system can be inverted to produce a solution for the location of all the fingers and the cross-coupling efficiencies that interconnect them through the user's hand and body, in order to match the observed intensities. This 20 holistic solution has much superior noise immunity and is scalable to large numbers of fingers. Another benefit of this method is the determination of not only the finger locations but also their cross-coupling efficiencies. For instance, fingers of the same hand cross-couple more strongly than 25 fingers belonging to opposite hands. Being able to distinguish fingers by hand suggests fascinating user interface possibilities. Additionally, and also promising novel applications, the fingers of a second user simultaneously touching the same screen, are plainly distinguishable by their much 30 weaker cross-coupling to the first user's fingers. Method 4: Tri-Intersection

A third set of mirrored electrodes, as shown in FIGS. 8a and 8b, offers another route to disambiguation. The method associates a finger touch of a touch screen with locations 35 where three electrodes 40, 42, 44, not just two, intersect or are positioned immediately opposed to each other. This will be referred to herein as a tri-intersection and it would include bridging for the respective lines of electrodes to avoid actual intersection of the electrodes themselves. As will be 40 explained, ghosts may still occur, but will not persist when the fingers are actually moving.

When introducing a third set of electrodes, many geometries are possible. FIG. 8a shows a preferred embodiment with three-fold symmetry. Another geometry would be one 45 based on the standard interlocked diamonds (FIG. 4), but with a diagonal set of electrodes as well. With any threeelectrode geometry, a consideration would be how to handle bridges. FIG. 8b shows that it is possible to provide the necessary bridges without any additional processing steps. 50

The advantages of three axes are further illustrated in FIGS. 9a-9c. If a finger touch is present (represented by a first circle F), then three electrodes 40, 42, 44, all of which cross at the location of the finger touch, should report a change in self-capacitance. As illustrated in FIG. 9a, if two 55 finger touches are present (represented by a first circle F and second circle FF), no misidentification or ghosting is possible.

As illustrated in FIG. 9b, if three finger touches are present (represented by a first circle F, a second circle FF and 60a third circle FFF), misidentification or ghosting is possible, as represented by the dashed circle G, but only for certain finger touch configurations (FIG. 9b). One should consider, however, that when touching the surface of the touch interface, fingers are normally in motion or moving across the 65surface. Thus, misidentifications or ghosts G would tend to appear and disappear, as with FIG. 9c where a small amount

of movement of one of the fingers (FFF) results in a disappearing ghost. Fortunately, a software solution for identifying and discarding ghost finger touches should be able to address this situation, with at least two approached described herein.

The first approach is based on mutual capacitance. In the first approach, one axis of electrodes (e.g., the x-axis in FIGS. 8a-8b and 9a-9c) is treated would be treated as transmit Tx electrodes (haptic devices). Along each Tx line are a series of "candidate" locations, defined by the intersection of pairs of electrodes, one from each other axis. (There are actually some of these on one side of the Tx line and some on the other, but that is a level of detail we will ignore for purposes of exposition.) Thus, given a Tx line of electrodes, there are two Rx lines associated with each location. These signals can be summed to produce a signal strength for each location, and a full map can then be produced by interpolation. Finger touch misidentifications or ghosts would be of reduced magnitude for two reasons: finger resistance and imperfect alignment (most of the time). A simple temporal filter-adding up the intensities for several samples-would further reduce ghost magnitude whenever fingers are moving across the surface of the interface device.

The second approach is based on self-capacitance. Selfcapacitance measurements of all the electrodes would indicate which of them were reporting finger touches (represented by a first circle F, a second circle FF, a third circle FFF, and a fourth circle FFFF), producing data similar to the solid lines shown in FIG. 10a. These lines would be found using interpolation to ensure the greatest accuracy for the self-capacitance measurements, and to disambiguate lines associated with different fingers. The locations of intersections of these lines would then be computed, as shown by the dots in FIG. 10b, and cluster analysis (e.g., via bounding boxes) would be used to determine likely finger touches, as shown in FIG. 10c where a ghost remains but is of reduced magnitude. Actual finger touches would be separated from ghosts based on the tightness of the tri-intersection cluster. As in the previous approach, temporal filtering would further help to identify and discard misidentifications or ghosts.

It also should be noted that tri-intersection can be combined with any of the other three methods to further improve disambiguation. Also, it will be appreciated that using additional sets of intersecting axes can be extended even further to quad-intersection, penta-intersection, and so forth. Multi-Point Haptics With Mirrored Electrodes

Multi-point haptics requires that each finger touch location lie above a pair of electrodes. Moreover, the pair of electrodes for each finger touch should be electrically isolated from those for the other fingers to ensure that haptic effects can be independently assigned. FIG. 3b shows an example of this for two fingers, but as discussed, electrical isolation is not possible when two fingers are on the same active electrode.

The tri-intersection pattern of electrodes (used above for disambiguating sensing) also provides one solution to this difficulty in haptics. With tri-intersection, there are three sets of electrodes under each finger touch, and any two of the three can be chosen as the active pair for producing an electrostatic field. As shown in FIG. **11**, the finger touches (represented by a first circle F and a second circle FF) remain independently addressable even if they lie above a common electrode. In FIG. **11**, the common electrode is shown by the dashed line CE, and the key is to ensure that that electrode has only a high impedance path to ground or any voltage source. That can be accomplished by opening

the circuit that connects it to ground or a source. This still leaves two electrodes under each finger, and these can be independently addressed.

While the active electrodes can be addressed all at once, it is best to address them sequentially as follows: electrodes 5 c and d are isolated while a and b are connected to voltage sources of opposite polarity. Charges build up underneath the fingertip touch with a time constant governed by  $C_f$  and the resistance of the electrodes. Using values discussed earlier, this time constant may be 1-10 µsec. After charges 10 have built up under one finger touch, they can be expected to stay there for some period of time, leaking away slowly through the resistivity of the skin. Various experimental estimates suggest that the leakage time constant is greater than 100 µsec, although the exact number depends on the 15 condition of the skin. Thus, after electrodes a and b are charged, they can be isolated while c and d are charged. Using this technique, it should be possible to cycle through approximately 10 finger touch locations, applying charge to each, without undue leakage. 20

As with sensing, there are configurations in which independent control of haptic effects may not be feasible. FIG. 12 illustrates such a situation. Here, in addition to a first finger touch (represented by a first circle f1) there are two additional finger touches (represented by a second circle  $f_{2,25}$ and a third circle f3) which share electrodes with the finger touch f1. If an electrode A is paired with an electrode B in order to produce an electrostatic force on the finger touch f1, then force will be applied to the finger touch f3, as well. If the electrode A instead is paired with an electrode C, then 30 force will be applied to the finger touch f2. While completely independent control is not possible with this arrangement, tri-intersection still provides some benefits. If, for instance, the electrodes A and B are paired, then the finger touch f2 is unaffected and the finger touch f3 experiences only half the 35 force experienced by the finger touch f1 because there is only one, not two active electrodes underneath it (note the emphasis of the case in which the finger touches f2 and f3 experience lower forces than the finger touch f1, as higher forces are relatively easy to achieve since the finger touches 40 f2 and f3 each can be addressed by two independent electrodes).

Other approaches to the situation shown in FIG. 12 are: use an electrode A and, as a second electrode, another one that is parallel to A; or, use a quad-intersection or higher- 45 intersection geometry.

In addition, it should be understood that electrostatic haptic effects are normally experienced only when a finger is moving, so a situation like that in FIG. 12 will not persist. Finally, it should be understood that the ability of a person 50 to distinguish a haptic sensation on one finger from that on another is limited, depending on the type of stimuli. As such, it is not always necessary to achieve complete independent control.

trodes

In the methods taught herein, the front or top surface electrodes (haptic devices) are involved in both sensing and actuation (haptics). It is of course desirable to sense finger locations at the same time that haptic effects are being 60 applied. Moreover, it is desirable that haptics not affect the quality of the sensing. Both of these goals are achieved by proper time sequencing.

When haptics is not in use, the front or top surface electrodes are normally isolated (in other words, the 65 switches shown in FIG. 7b are open). When this is the case, it is straightforward to measure either the self-capacitance of

a bottom surface electrode or the mutual capacitance of any pair of electrodes using any of a number of techniques known in the art. For instance, self-capacitance can be measured using a relaxation oscillator technique and measuring the oscillator frequency, which would typically be on the order of 1 MHz.

If it becomes necessary to produce a haptic effect, the switches in FIG. 7b would be closed long enough to charge up the finger capacitance  $C_{\ell}$  As discussed earlier, this would require 1-10 µs. During this interval, sensing on the mirrored bottom surface lines of electrodes would be suspended and instead those lines would be grounded. At the end of this interval, sensing would commence. Sensing could continue for up to another 90 us while still maintaining a haptic switching loop of 10 kHz, which is typical for electrostatics. Of course, not all electrodes can be queried in parallel. Instead, sensing would be multiplexed. Up to 100 sequential sensing queries could be completed while maintaining a reasonable multi-touch sensing rate of 100 Hz.

Alternatively, the charging of the top surface electrodes can be done by injecting an amount of charge or current onto them, rather than by connecting them intermittently to a voltage source as described just previously. The distinction is one of impedance; the alternate method keeps the impedance to ground of the top surface electrode (due to haptic actuation) high at all times, with the consequence that signals (for sensing) originating in the lower surface electrodes can still have their effect without being distracted by the actuation.

From the foregoing description, it will be appreciated that several additional aspects may be ascertained from this disclosure when a touch interface uses mirrored electrodes.

For instance, in another aspect, the touch interface may further comprise a strong capacitive coupling between each respective surface haptic device connected to the front surface of the substrate and each respective sensing device aligned therewith and connected to the rear surface of the substrate.

In a further aspect, the touch interface may have the pattern of the one or more surface haptic devices be identical to the pattern of the one or more sensing devices.

In another aspect, the touch interface may use surface haptic devices that are transparent, or that further include an electrode and a protective layer, where the protective layer may be transparent and/or may be made of a dielectric material.

In still a further aspect, the touch interface may use a substrate that is planar or curved, and the substrate may be transparent, such as in the form or a sheet of glass or plastic.

In another aspect, the touch interface may have the haptic devices provide a haptic effect that is a change in friction and/or is independently controllable at more than one touch location.

In a further aspect, the touch interface may include a Simultaneous Sensing And Actuation With Mirrored Elec- 55 device that provides measurements of positions of more than one touch location.

> In still another aspect, the touch interface may include electrical signals that are sent to at least one of the one or more surface haptic devices to produce a haptic effect, and the electrical signals may be sent to at least one of the one or more sensing devices to measure a touch location.

> In a further aspect, the touch interface may include sensing devices that remain functional when the one or more surface haptic devices is damaged.

> In another aspect, the touch interface may include one or more surface haptic devices and one or more sensing devices that are arranged in substantially similar and aligned patterns

with each presenting an array having two or more lines of different electrodes that present intersection locations.

In still a further aspect, the touch interface may include one or more surface haptic devices and one or more sensing devices that are arranged in substantially similar and aligned 5 patterns presenting an array having three lines of different electrodes that present a tri-intersection pattern. In a first further related aspect, the tri-intersection may provide disambiguation of ghost images of touch locations relative to actual finger touch locations when the touch interface is used 10 with multi-finger sensing. In a second further related aspect, the tri-intersection may provide disambiguation of at least one finger touch location of a first individual relative to at least one finger touch location of a second individual when the touch interface is used with multi-user sensing. Simultaneous Sensing and Actuation with only One Set of Electrodes

The technique of mirrored electrodes is advantageous because it ensures that sensing can be accomplished even if the front surface electrodes are compromised by one or more 20 scratches. If scratches are unlikely to occur, then it may be desirable to use only one set of electrodes-those on the touch surface, to accomplish sensing and actuation. Using the techniques taught here, it is still possible to do so. In particular, the problem of disambiguating real touch loca- 25 tions from ghost locations may still be resolved by the methods taught here, and simultaneous sensing and actuation may still be achieved by proper timing of the haptic and sensing signals.

From the foregoing description, it will be appreciated that 30 several additional aspects may be ascertained from this disclosure when a touch interface uses one or more electrodes connected to the front surface of a substrate that are used as one or more haptic devices and sensing devices.

For instance, in another aspect, the touch interface may 35 include electrodes on the front surface that provide an electrostatic force, and further, the electrostatic force may have a magnitude that can be modulated.

In another aspect, the touch interface may include that the one or more electrodes connected to the front surface of a 40 substrate that present an array having two or more lines of different electrodes that present intersection locations, and further, the array may have three lines of different electrodes that present a tri-intersection pattern, which in turn may present a touch location that is associated with a finger 45 and proceeded with the word "a" or "an" should be underengaging three different electrodes within the tri-intersection pattern.

In further aspect, the touch interface may include that the electrodes connected to the front of the substrate are capable of providing multi-point haptics.

From the above disclosure, it will be apparent that touch interface devices constructed in accordance with this disclosure may provide multi-point haptics while including a number of advantages over the prior art. The devices may exhibit one or more of the above-referenced potential advan- 55 tages, depending upon the specific design and configuration chosen.

It will be appreciated that a touch interface of a surface haptic device having multi-point haptics in accordance with the present disclosure may be provided in various configu- 60 actuation comprising: rations. Any variety of suitable materials of construction, configurations, shapes and sizes for the components and methods of connecting the components may be utilized to meet the particular needs and requirements of an end user. It will be apparent to those skilled in the art that various 65 modifications can be made in the design and construction of such devices without departing from the scope or spirit of

the claimed subject matter, and that the claims are not limited to the preferred embodiments illustrated herein.

It is to be understood that the above description is intended to be illustrative, and not restrictive. For example, the above-described examples or embodiments (and/or aspects thereof) may be used individually or in combination with each other. In addition, many modifications may be made to adapt a particular situation or material to the teachings of the inventive subject matter without departing from its scope. While the dimensions and types of materials described herein are intended to define the parameters of the inventive subject matter, they are by no means limiting and are intended as examples. Many other embodiments will be apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art upon reviewing the above description. The scope of the one or more embodiments of the subject matter described herein should, therefore, be determined with reference to the appended claims, along with the full scope of equivalents to which such claims are entitled. In the appended claims, terms such as "including" and "having" are used as the plain-English equivalents of the respective terms "comprising" and "wherein." Moreover, in the following claims, use of terms such as "first," "second," and "third," etc. may be used merely as labels, and are not intended to impose numerical requirements on their objects. Further, the limitations of the following claims are not written in means-plus-function format and are not intended to be interpreted based on 35 U.S.C. .sctn.112, sixth paragraph, unless and until such claims limitations expressly use the phrase "means for" followed by a statement of function void of further structure.

This written description uses examples to disclose several embodiments of the inventive subject matter, and also to enable a person of ordinary skill in the art to practice the embodiments disclosed herein, including making and using any devices or systems and performing any incorporated methods. The patentable scope of the subject matter may be defined by the claims, and may include other examples that occur to one of ordinary skill in the art. Such other examples are intended to be within the scope of the claims if they have structural elements that do not differ from the literal language of the claims, or if they include equivalent structural elements with insubstantial differences from the literal languages of the claims.

As used herein, an element or step recited in the singular stood as not excluding plural of said elements or steps, unless such exclusion is explicitly stated. Furthermore, references to one example of embodiment of the presently described inventive subject matter are not intended to be interpreted as excluding the existence of additional examples or embodiments that also incorporate the recited features. Moreover, unless explicitly stated to the contrary, embodiments "comprising," "including," or "having" an element or a plurality of elements having a particular property may include additional such elements not having that property.

What is claimed is:

1. A touch interface having simultaneous sensing and

- an insulating substrate having a front surface and a rear surface:
- a plurality of electrodes disposed on the rear surface arranged in a first pattern;
- a plurality of electrodes disposed on the front surface arranged in a second pattern that mirrors the first pattern, wherein the electrodes on the front surface

have a substantial mutual capacitance with corresponding mirrored electrodes on the rear surface,

wherein when a transmitted signal is applied to at least one of the electrodes on the rear surface, the transmitted signal passes through the insulating substrate via the 5 mutual capacitance to the at least one electrode on the front surface such that when a user's finger is disposed contiguous with the front surface the finger modulates a strength of reception of the transmitted signal from the at least one electrode on the front surface to at least 10 one other electrode on the front surface as a received signal;

wherein the received signal passes through the insulating substrate via the mutual capacitance to at least one electrode on the rear surface so that a location of the 15 user's finger is determined from the strength of reception of the received signal.

**2**. The touch interface of claim **1** wherein the first pattern is substantially identical to the second pattern.

**3**. The touch interface of claim **1** wherein the plurality of 20 electrodes on the front surface are transparent.

4. The touch interface of claim 1 wherein at least one haptic actuation signal is applied to at least one electrode.

**5**. The touch interface of claim **4** wherein two different haptic actuation signals are applied to at least two electrodes, <sup>25</sup> wherein when the user's finger closes a circuit among the plurality of electrodes disposed on the front surface, such that an electrostatic friction force acts on the user's finger to create a haptic effect.

**6**. The touch interface of claim **5** wherein the two different 30 haptic actuation signals combine such that the haptic effect is substantially independent of the state of coupling of the user's body to ground.

7. The touch interface of claim 1 wherein the substrate is planar.

**8**. The touch interface of claim **1** wherein the substrate is transparent.

**9**. The touch interface of claim **8** wherein the substrate further comprises a sheet of glass or plastic.

10. The touch interface of claim 1 wherein the haptic 40 effect provided by the electrodes on the front surface is a change in friction.

**11**. The touch interface of claim **1** wherein the haptic effect is independently controllable at more than one touch location.

**12**. The touch interface of claim **1** wherein the electrodes on the rear surface provide measurements of positions of more than one touch location.

**13**. The touch interface of claim **1** wherein electrical signals are sent to at least one of the one or more of the electrodes in the set of electrodes on the front surface to produce a haptic effect.

14. The touch interface of claim 1 wherein electrical signals are sent to at least one of the one or more of the electrodes in the set of electrodes on the rear surface to measure a touch location.

**15**. The touch interface of claim **1** wherein the set of electrodes on the rear surface remain functional when the one or more electrodes of the set of electrodes on the front surface is damaged.

16. The touch interface of claim 1 wherein the set of electrodes on the front surface and the set of electrodes on the rear surface are arranged in substantially similar and aligned patterns with each presenting an array having two or more lines of different electrodes that present intersection locations.

17. The touch interface of claim 16 wherein the set of electrodes on the front surface and the set of electrodes on the rear surface are arranged in substantially similar and aligned patterns presenting an array having three lines of different electrodes that present a tri-intersection pattern.

**18**. The touch interface of claim **17** wherein the triintersection provides disambiguation of ghost images of touch locations relative to actual finger touch locations when the touch interface is used with multi-finger sensing.

**19**. The touch interface of claim **17** wherein the triintersection provides disambiguation of at least one finger touch location of a first individual relative to at least one finger touch location of a second individual when the touch interface is used with multi-user sensing.

\* \* \* \* \*